Price v. Facebook, Inc. Doc. 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E-FILED

CIVIL MINUTES

	DATE: April 17, 20 0	09
Case No. <u>C-09-80004-RMW</u> JUDGE: <u>Ronald</u>	M. Whyte TIME IN: 50 min.	
SOFTWARE RIGHTS ARCHIVE, LLC. <u>Title</u>	V- <u>GOOGLE, INC., et al.</u>	
L. Kaplan, T. Smegal Attorneys Present (Plaintiff)	R. Hung, T. Walsh, M. Parnes, J. De Attorneys Present (Defendant)	eHope, Jr.
COURT CLERK: Jackie Garcia	COURT REPORTER: Lee-Anne Sho	ortridge
<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>		
YAHOO'S MOTIONS TO COMPEL COMPLIANCE WITH YAHOO'S SUBPOENAS ON MURRAY & MURRAY AND WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI		
ORDER AFTER HEARING	,	
Hearing Held. The Court heard oral argument from both sides. The Court's tentative ruling: The motions		
to compel compliance with the subpoenas on Murray & Murray and Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati are		
tentatively granted, but the Court does have some concerns because the attorney-client privilege is		
allegedly involved. However, it appears that a dismissed or defunct corporation cannot assert the		
attorney-client privilege, and that Mr. Ait no longer	has authority to raise the issue. It appo	ears that the
documents do have relevance to patent ownership, and the patent files would presumably be relevant to		
validity issues. Nothing that the Court rules on these	motions should be construed as disagn	reement with
Judge Everingham's order. The Court to issue a fin	al ruling to the parties. The matter is d	leemed
submitted.		